



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

| | | | | |
|------------|------------|--------------|--------|------|
| 10/777,097 | 02/13/2004 | Jae-jun Moon | Q77183 | 4555 |
|------------|------------|--------------|--------|------|

| | | |
|-------|------|------------|
| 23373 | 7590 | 05/11/2005 |
|-------|------|------------|

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

ZWEIZIG, JEFFERY SHAWN

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2816

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005

:

.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:

.

:

N/A

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/777,097 | Applicant(s) MOON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Jeffrey S. Zweizig | Art Unit 2816 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wu et al. (USPN 5,307,007).

Fig. 1 discloses a bias circuit M1-M4 & R1, an output node (node between M1 & M3) and a start up capacitor C1 as recited in claim 1.

Fig. 3 discloses a bias circuit M3-M8/R1, an output node (node between M4 & M6, first MOS transistors M7 & M8, second MOS transistors M5 & M6 and start up capacitors C1 & C2 as recited in claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki (USPN 5,180,967) in view of Wu et al..

Yamazaki Fig. 1 discloses a bias circuit 104/106/110/112/114 and an output node N11 or N12 as recited in claim 1. Further shown are start up circuits 118 & 120. Not shown is a start up capacitor as recited in claim 1. Wu et al. Figs. 1, 2, 4 & 6 disclose similar bias circuits including a start up capacitor C1 or C2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the start up circuits 118 & 120 with a start up capacitor as taught by Wu et al. for the benefit of reducing component count and static current consumption. Claim 1 is obvious.

Yamazaki Fig. 1 further discloses a first PMOS 106, a second PMOS 104, a first NMOS 110, a second NMOS 112 and a resistor 114 as recited in claim 2. Claim 2 is obvious.

Yamazaki Fig. 5 discloses a bias circuit 104/106/124/126/130/128/114, an output node (node between 126 & 128, first MOS transistors 104 & 106 and second MOS transistors 124 & 126 as recited in claim 3. Further shown are start up circuits 118 & 120. Not shown are start up capacitors as recited in claim 3. Wu et al. Figs. 3 & 5 disclose similar bias circuits including a start up capacitors C1 & C2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the start up circuits 118 & 120 with a start up capacitors as taught by Wu et al. for the benefit of reducing static current consumption. Claim 3 is obvious.

Yamazaki Fig. 5 further discloses a first PMOS 106, a second PMOS 104, a third PMOS 126, a fourth PMOS 124, a first NMOS 110, a second NMOS 112 and a resistor 114 as recited in claim 4. Claim 4 is obvious.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants argue that the references do not show the claimed elements. Except for the combination cited in the obvious rejection, the references clearly show the claimed elements as completely detailed in the rejections above.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, there is motivation to do so found either in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey S. Zweizig whose telephone number is (571) 272-1758. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2816

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Jeffrey S. Zweizig
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2816

JZ